Case3:11-cr-00157-SC Document1 Filed03/17/11 Page1 of 5 Submit by Email AO 257 (Rev. 6/78) DEFENDANT INFORMATION RELATIVE TO A CRIMINAL ACTION - IN U.S. DISTRIC Name of District Court, and/or Judge/Magistr INFORMATION X INDICTMENT COMPLAINT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORN SUPERSEDING OAKLAND DIVE OFFENSE CHARGED **DEFENDANT - U.S.** 18 U.S.C. § 1001 - False Statement Petty to the IRS (2 counts) Minor William A. Hirst Misdemeanor DISTRICT COURT NUMBER Felony PENALTY: 18 U.S.C. § 1001- 5 years prison, \$250,000 fine. 5 years supervised release, \$100 assessment. **DEFENDANT** IS NOT IN CUSTODY **PROCEEDING** Has not been arrested, pending outcome this proceeding. Name of Complaintant Agency, or Person (&Title, if any) If not detained give date any prior summons was served on above charges INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE Is a Fugitive person is awaiting trial in another Federal or State Court, give name of court Is on Bail or Release from (show District) this person/proceeding is transferred from another district per (circle one) FRCrP 20, 21 or 40. Show IS IN CUSTODY District On this charge this is a reprosecution of On another conviction charges previously dismissed Awaiting trial on other Fed'I which were dismissed on SHOW charnes motion of: DOCKET NO. If answer to (6) is "Yes", show name of institution U.S. Att'y Defense this prosecution relates to a pending case involving this same If "Yes" Yes Has detainer defendant MAGISTRATE give date been filed? prior proceedings or appearance(s) filed CASE NO. before U.S. Magistrate regarding this defendant were recorded under Month/Day/Year DATE OF **ARREST** Name and Office of Person Or... if Arresting Agency & Warrant were not Furnishing Information on MELINDA HAAG Month/Dav/Year THIS FORM DATE TRANSFERRED Other U.S. Agency U.S. Att'y TO U.S. CUSTODY Name of Asst. U.S. Att'y This report amends AO 257 previously submitted THOMAS MOORE, AUSA, TAX DIV. (if assigned) - ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR COMMENTS -PROCESS: X SUMMONS NO PROCESS\* WARRANT **Bail Amount:** If Summons, complete following: \*Where defendant previously apprehended on complaint, no new summons Arraignment | Initial Appearance or warrant needed, since Magistrate has scheduled arraignment Defendant Address: Date/Time: Before Judge: Comments:

# 11-157 WANT SBA

# United States District Court

# FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

**VENUE: OAKLAND** 

MAR 17 2000 RICHARD W. WIEKING CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT OF

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

٧.

CK 11 0157

SBA

Esimo

WILLIAM A. HIRST



DEFENDANT(S).

# INDICTMENT

18 U.S.C. § 1001 - False Statement to the IRS (2 counts)

A true bitt	
Forem	
Inth	
Filed in open court this day of	
/////////////// KAREN L	We to ge
- POTOT T	_
JOSEPH C. SPERO	
Bail, \$ <u>5 4 m mo</u>	Judge Ryu in Oakland
	Judge Ryu in Care

ĭ	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 1132612) United States Attorney	
2		LED
3		MAR 17 20H
4		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CURP
5		TRICT OF COUNTY
6	Same ABBB E	$\bigvee_{j}$
7		.e. e.
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	OAKLAN	d division <b>K</b> 11 0157
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR
12	Plaintiff,	<ul><li>VIOLATION: 18 U.S.C. § 1001 - False</li><li>Statement to the Internal Revenue Service</li></ul>
13	v.	(2 Counts)
14	WILLIAM A. HIRST,	
15	Defendant.	OAKLAND VENUE
16		
17	INDICTMENT	
18	The Grand Jury Charges:	
19	<u>COUNT ONE:</u> (18 U.S.C. § 1001)	
20	On or about December 11, 2006, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,	
21	WILLIAM A. HIRST,	
22	a resident of Pleasanton, California, did willfully and knowingly make and cause to be made materially	
23	false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations regarding a matter within the jurisdiction	
24	of the Internal Revenue Service, an agency of the United States, by stating to agents of the Internal	
25	Revenue Service during a civil estate tax audit to determine the assets and the value of the assets	
26	included in the decedent's estate and its federal estate tax liability, when they placed eleven deeds	
27	before him, that he notarized those deeds on February 12, 2004; that the signature on those deeds was	
28	FRR's: that it was not possible that he signed ERR's signature onto some of the deeds; that he did not	

know why he placed the date in front of ERB's signature on three deeds; that he did not remember why three deeds were filed fourteen months after February 12, 2004, while the other eight were filed one month after February 12, 2004; that he discovered three deeds had not been filed with the county recorder when he ran across them in a file; and that he did not sign ERB's name to deeds, and the signatures on the deeds were not his writing. The statements and representations were false because, as WILLIAM A. HIRST then and there knew, that on or about and between December 2004, and February 15, 2005, both dates being approximate and inclusive, he fabricated three of the deeds, which were included among the eleven deeds placed before him, those three deeds being for the properties commonly know as 1051 Bluebell, 41111 Blacow and 1938, 1955 and 1979 Spruce (hereinafter "Bluebell, Blacow, and Spruce"); signed ERB's name to the fabricated deeds; placed the date in front of ERB's signature on the fabricated deeds as ERB was deceased; did not notarize the fabricated deeds on February 12, 2004; he knew the three fabricated deeds were filed fourteen months after February 12, 2004, instead of one month later like the other deeds, because he did not fabricate them until sometime in between December 2004 and February 15, 2005 and therefore could not have been filed in 2004 with the other deeds; he discovered he did not have deeds for the Bluebell, Blacow, and Spruce properties when SB asked him for those deeds and when he was unable to find them in his files, he fabricated the Bluebell, Blacow, and Spruce deeds and caused them to be filed with the county recorder. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001.

<u>COUNT TWO:</u> (18 U.S.C. § 1001)

On or about August 5, 2008, in the Northern District of California, the defendant,

### WILLIAM A. HIRST,

a resident of Pleasanton, California, did willfully and knowingly make and cause to be made materially false, fictitious, and fraudulent statements and representations regarding a matter within the jurisdiction of the Internal Revenue Service, an agency of the United States, by stating to agents of the Internal Revenue Service during a criminal investigation that the Bluebell, Blacow, and Spruce deeds were

26 ///

i

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27 ///

28 ///

Indictment 2

## Case3:11-cr-00157-SC Document1 Filed03/17/11 Page5 of 5

ĺ recorded more than a year after the other deeds were recorded, because they were lost. The statement and representation was false because, as WILLIAM A. HIRST then and there knew, the reason the documents were recorded on or about April 4, 2005, was because they were not fabricated by him until sometime between December 2004 and February 15, 2005. All in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001. A True Bill Dated: March 17, 2011 MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney Chief, Criminal Division Approved as to Form THOMAS MOORE Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Tax Division 

Indictment